UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

THOMAS ERICKSON,

Civil No. 05-716 (JRT/RLE)

Plaintiff,

v.

KOOCHICHING COUNTY,
KOOCHICHING COUNTY SHERIFF
DEPARTMENT, KOOCHICHING
COUNTY ATTORNEY JENNIFER
HASBARGEN, DUANE NELSON, JOHN
DEMUTH, JOHN MASTIN, GARY
JENSEN, PETER KALAR, FLORENCE
HERVEY, KIM MILLETTE, MARY LOU
JENSEN, LYNN ROGERS, BARB
PAULBECK, SCOTT WHERELY,
DENNIS HUMMITZSCH, SUSAN
TINDAL, PAUL REUVERS, IVERSON
REUVERS LLC, CHARLES LEDUC,
BRUCE BIGGINS, AND JOHN AND
JANE DOES 1-5,

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Defendants.

Thomas Erickson, 54277 Sheephead Road Northeast, Waskish, MN 56685, plaintiff *pro se*.

Paul D. Reuvers and Susan M. Tindal, **IVERSON REUVERS, LLC**, 9321 Ensign Avenue South, Bloomington, MN 55438, for defendants Koochiching County, Koochiching County Sheriff Department, Koochiching County Attorney Jennifer Hasbargen, Duane Nelson, John DeMuth, John Mastin, Gary Jensen, Peter Kalar, Florence Hervey, Kim Millette, Mary Lou Jensen, Lynn Rogers, Barb Paulbeck, Scott Wherely, Dennis Hummitzsch, Susan Tindal, Paul Reuvers, Iverson Reuvers LLC, and John and Jane Does, 1-5.

John S. Garry, Assistant Attorney General, **OFFICE OF THE MINNESOTA ATTORNEY GENERAL**, 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1100, Saint Paul, MN 55101, for defendant Charles LeDuc.

Bruce R. Biggins, **BIGGINS & CHOI, PLLP**, 309 Third Street, International Falls, MN 56649, for defendant Bruce Biggins.

Plaintiff Thomas Erickson commenced this action on April 8, 2005, alleging violations of his Constitutional Rights, as well as a number of other common law and statutory violations. Plaintiff alleges a number of claims against the defendants relating to his arrest, and eventual prosecution, in the Minnesota state courts. Following a hearing, United States Magistrate Judge Raymond L. Erickson recommended granting defendants' Motions to Dismiss. Plaintiff filed an objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation of February 13, 2006. The Report and Recommendation submitted to the Court is a thorough and detailed review of the Magistrate Judge's findings of fact and credibility determinations in light of the applicable legal standards. The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of plaintiff's objections pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and D. Minn. LR 72.2(b), and has considered respondent's objections to the Report and Recommendation. The Court agrees with Magistrate Judge Erickson's conclusions and therefore adopts the Report and Recommendation.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, all the records, files, and proceedings herein, the Court **OVERRULES** defendants' Objection [Docket No. 258] and **ADOPTS** the Magistrate

CASE 0:05-cv-00716-JRT-RLE Document 270 Filed 03/22/06 Page 3 of 3

Judge's Report and Recommendation [Docket No. 231]. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY

ORDERED that:

1. LeDuc's Motion to Dismiss [Docket No. 14] is **GRANTED**;

2. Biggins's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings in his capacity as a Public

Defender [Docket No. 29] is **GRANTED**;

3. Biggins's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings in his individual capacity

[Docket No. 33] is **GRANTED**; and

4. The County Defendants' Motion by to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for

Summary Judgment [Docket No. 42] is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that as this decision dismisses the case in its

entirety, all other filed motions in this case are **DENIED AS MOOT** in light of this

order.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

DATED: March 22, 2006

at Minneapolis, Minnesota.

s/ John R. Tunheim
JOHN R. TUNHEIM

United States District Judge

- 3 -